

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,594	12/13/2005	Antonius Johannes Hendrikus Stegmann	2001-1418	7185
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 12/05/2007 HOMPSON		EXAM	INER
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			BLUMEL, BENJAMIN P	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7 MCDING TOTA	, 111 22202		1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/560,594	STEGMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin P. Blumel	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	N V IO OET TO EVEIDE 4 M	ONTHIC OR THIRTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	December 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to be	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🗷 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
-		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a fi						
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview S Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application				

10/560,594

Art Unit: 1648

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21, drawn to a reconstituted viral membrane.

Group II, claim(s) 13, drawn to a method for producing a reconstituted viral membrane.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The claims are directed to a reconstituted viral membrane comprising a lipid bi-layer, fusion protein, an amphiphilic adjuvant and an optional additional antigen. This reconstituted viral membrane can fuse with target cells. However, because Kozlov et al. (Traffic, 2002, Vol. 3, pages 256-267) studied membrane fusion between cells and engineered lipid bilayer particles that contain fusion proteins for binding to target cells. Furthermore, even though Kozlov et al. do not teach including adjuvants, one skilled in the art would apply these particles to hosts with adjuvants, no special technical feature exists for groups I an II as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, because it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Note that PCT Rule 13 does not provide for multiple

10/560,594

Art Unit: 1648

products or methods within a single application. Because the technical feature of Groups I and II is not a special technical feature, unity of invention is lacking.

## Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A. A specific amphiphilic adjuvant as stated in claims 3, 4, 16-18.
  - I. If applicants elect lipopeptide, a specific lipopeptide must also be elected from claim 5.
  - II. If applicants elect glycolipid, a specific glycolipid must also be elected from claim 6.
- **B.** A specific antigen as stated in claims 7, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 19-21.
- III. If applicant's influenza virus, a specific influenza antigen must also be elected from claim 10.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from each group listed above to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number:

10/560,594

Art Unit: 1648

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- A. Claims 3-6 and 16-18 require a specific adjuvant, all other claims are generic.
- **B.** Claims 7-12 and 19-21 require a specific antigen, all other claims are generic.

The following claim(s) are generic: all claims are generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each adjuvant and antigen are distinct and would require different searches.

### Summary

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin P. Blumel whose telephone number is 571-272-4960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number:

10/560,594

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-1600. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Benjamin P Blumel/ Examiner

Art Unit 1648

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Drue Campell